



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_4137
Title of the Manuscript:	MEASUREMENT OF PIG PRODUCTION PROFITABILITY IN ZANGON KATAF AND JEMA'A LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREAS OF KADUNA STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	• Please follow the style of the Journal. • The quotes are not well referenced by the standards of the journal • Define Clear-cut methodology that how you collect the data in what months you have to collect. • There are a lot of old literatures. Need to refer to the recent issues in this area • What software package was used? • Results are discussed in this section.	Style of journal, referencing, data collection period, current literature and software package used are all noted. Corrected parts are highlighted in the manuscript.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	• Poorly written English. Please, make sure before submitting the paper let it be checked by some native English speaker. • It needs a lot of improvement. • Graphical presentation will yield more clear understanding of the best fit model.	All agreed. Manuscript has been edited.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	• The models should be better explained, • The measurement units should be indicated, • The authors should pay attention to the significant differences and make correct interpretation of the results	Noted and corrected